

P O R T E R | S C O T T

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

William E. Camy, SBN 291397

2180 Harvard Street, Suite 500

Sacramento, CA 95815

TEL: 916.929.1481

FAX: 916.927.3706

wcamy@porterscott.com

Attorney for Defendants, CITY OF ROSEVILLE, OFFICER SANCHEZ, OFFICER HANCOCK
Exempt from filing fee pursuant to Government Code section 6103

**UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

AMIE CHAN, RYAN SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF ROSEVILLE, OFFICER
FRANKLIN, OFFICER KOENIG, OFFICER
SANCHEZ, OFFICER HANCOCK, DOES 1-
30,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:25-cv-00975-CSK

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE RESPONSIVE
PLEADING AND OTHER MATTERS;
~~PROPOSED~~ MODIFIED ORDER**

Compl. Filed: 3/28/2025
Trial Date: Not Set

Plaintiffs AMIE CHAN and RYAN SMITH (collectively “Plaintiffs”) and Defendants CITY OF ROSEVILLE, OFFICER FRANKLIN, OFFICER SANCHEZ, and OFFICER HANCOCK (collectively “Defendants”)¹ (Plaintiffs and Defendants collectively referred to as “the parties”), by and through their respective counsels of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. Plaintiffs have expressed an intent to amend their Complaint to add an additional Plaintiff, Elijah Norwood. Defendants stipulate there is good cause to grant Plaintiffs leave to amend the complaint.

¹ Plaintiffs previously dismissed Officer Koenig.

2. The parties stipulate it will promote efficiency for the parties and Court to extend the deadline for Defendants to file their respective responsive pleadings to Plaintiffs' Complaint until after an amended complaint is filed. Thus, the parties stipulate Defendants' deadline to file their respective responsive pleadings should be extended to 21 days after Plaintiffs' amended complaint is served on Defendants.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 24, 2025

PORTER SCOTT
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ William E. Camy

William E. Camy
Attorney for Defendant CITY OF
ROSEVILLE, OFFICER SANCHEZ,
OFFICER HANCOCK

Dated: July 23, 2025

LAW OFFICE OF JOSEPH DAVID MANUEL

By: /s/ Joseph D. Manuel

Joseph David Manuel
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: July 23, 2025

ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF, LLP

By: /s/ Derick E. Konz

Derick E. Konz
Attorney for Defendant OFFICER
FRANKLIN


MODIFIED ORDER

Having reviewed the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby orders as follows:

1. Plaintiffs are granted leave to amend their Complaint to add Elijah Norwood as a party.
2. Plaintiffs shall file their amended complaint by Friday, August 1, 2025.
3. The deadline for Defendants to file their respective responsive pleadings to Plaintiffs' Complaint is extended 21 days after Plaintiffs' amended complaint is served on Defendants.
4. Plaintiffs' motion to amend the Complaint (ECF No. 25) is DENIED as moot pursuant to the parties' stipulation and the September 2, 2025 hearing is vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 31, 2025



CHI SOO KIM
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4, chan0975.25